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AFTERNOON SESSION
(Hearing resumed at 1:08 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Back on the record. We'll continue with Commissioners' questions and then move to redirect.

INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS (CONT'D):
BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
Q. Okay. All right. So most of my questions are with respect to the settlement. And the first question is perhaps for the Company. So, Exhibit 3, Page 12, for reference.

Atkinson and Hampstead negotiated separate rates for municipal fire protection, including 38 K from HAWK's shareholders. And my question for the Company is: So it looks like there was a special deal that was cut here with the towns, but no sort of parallel deal with the rest of the ratepayers. I'm wondering why the ratepayers weren't treated equivalently to the towns.

MR. AUGERI: Chairman Goldner, if you'd like, I can summarize kind of the answer as to how that came about and then maybe the witnesses could answer.
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That was a negotiated term. And I think the OCA's witness had alluded to earlier, corresponding to that negotiation was another provision that was the OCA's insistence that that didn't get transferred over to the ratepayers. So those stayed flat. So that's kind of the general premise and maybe a recap of some of the earlier testimony. And then if the witnesses would like to add to that.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Anyone from the panel can feel free to comment on that, including the OCA, if you wish.
A. (Fox) I'll just jump in quickly. I think that the biggest thing is the Company performing cost of service study for the first time and the realignments of costs and the significant impacts associated with those shifts in costs to the towns; whereas, the ratepayers did not see those costs.

A little bit of what $I$ was talking about earlier with the timing of budgeting for increases in the fire protection cost for the towns in the fiscal year, there's a little
\{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}
bit more attention, you know, paid to trying to mitigate those significant increases with the realignments of costs associated with the cost of service, more so than the retail customer class, which did not see as significant as a customer -- as a bill increase.
Q. If you don't mind checking my math. So I think that the towns would have seen about a 6X increase in cost and the ratepayers about 70 percent. Am I in the ballpark, or am I misreading that testimony? Can you just give me an idea of what the towns would have paid, because it had been so long since the cost of study, versus the ratepayers? You know, what was that difference that you were attempting to remedy?
A. (Fox) Just give me one second, Chairman. I'm trying to find some information. I can give you exact details.
Q. Yeah, thank you. Take your time. (Pause)
A. (Fox) Just for example, under Permanent Rates, retail customers, who the vast
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majority of HAWK's customers are five-eighths-inch meter, those customer-billed monthly charges would increase less than 20 percent, and their hundred-cubic volumetric rate would increase by about 13 percent; whereas, the hydrant charges were going up greater than 500 percent, which is the hydrant charge -or the total fire protection charge as discussed in the Settlement Agreement is what the towns would pay.
Q. And is that versus temporary rates or the prior rates?
A. The prior rates, yes, prior to temporary.
Q. Okay. That makes sense.
A. (Gage) I do have a small, small item to add to that, which is that we did draw a line in the sand and promise to back out of the settlement if any of the municipal charges came over, or basically taxpayer charges came over to ratepayers, as we don't see those two groups as being the same. We see them as being different. And the parties respected that request on behalf of the OCA.
\{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}
Q. Okay. And that's why the 38 K subsidy from HAWK's shareholders went to Atkinson and Hampstead. And OCA was okay with that because there was no cross-subsidization going on from ratepayers.
A. (Gage) Right.
Q. Thank you.

Okay. On Page 5 of the settlement, we talked about the revenue requirement being 13.3 percent over the 2019 pro forma test year. What does that 13.3 represent? How is that number derived?
A. (St. Cyr) So I always like to go to the schedules. So if you go to, I guess this is Page 18, Attachment 1, Permanent Rates. Down at the bottom of the schedule it actually shows the 13.3 percent and the 298,319 , which is the increase in revenue. And that would be an increase over sort of test year pro formas --
Q. So it's really the 2020 --
[Court Reporter interrupts.]
Q. So it's really the 2020 test year. You really took a 2019 test year, and you
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basically adjusted --
A. (St. Cyr) Made some adjustments.
Q. -- 2020.
A. Yes.
Q. Because this is 2022. So your logic was probably that using a 2020 test year, given the passage of time, made more sense.
A. (St. Cyr) So, again, that doesn't encompass everything that would have taken place in 2020. There would have only been adjustments -- you know, we would have proposed some adjustments, and then we would have been subject to data requests and subject to further negotiation. So ultimately it would have been adjusted. I don't know as $I$ would characterize it as the "2020 test year" --
Q. I understand.
A. (St. Cyr) -- it's really a pro forma 2019 test year.
Q. It was -- let me make sure I understand.

So my interpretation is that you used 2019 as the test year, and then you adjusted it up for the permanent rates by
13.3 percent. So I'll call that a proxy for 2020. If you're uncomfortable with that language, we can discuss that $I$ suppose. But the way you got 13.3 percent was by adjusting the 2019 test year with some adjustments on this spreadsheet. Is that --
A. (St. Cyr) Correct.
Q. Okay. Just trying to make sure I understand what you did.

Okay. So on Page 6 there's discussion of the first and second steps. Was the sole purpose of these steps -- I'll call them the "2020 capital additions" and the "2021 capital additions," the two steps. Was the sole purpose to reduce rate shock, or was there something else to consider in those steps?
A. (St. Cyr) So one other consideration would be the difference between permanent and temporary rates. Those are applicable to essentially the 2019 test year.
Q. Okay. But I think, you know, you could -- it would be fair to say that primarily the idea behind the steps, the main reason, was to
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reduce rate shock.
A. (St. Cyr) Sure.
Q. Yeah. I mean, I just was giving you the opportunity if there was something else in there that I wasn't considering. But that's what my -- that was my takeaway.

Okay. And then I think the final question on the settlement. On Page 12, Mr. Lanza, you had commented earlier in response to Commissioner Simpson, relative to the reason that the costs were fixed for the towns, that the towns have a budget and they need to live within those budgets. And so there was this idea that a fixed budget would make a lot of sense. But what would prevent the towns from building out a ton of fire hydrants or, you know, spending more than their budget? What would -- what keeps them within the boundary of the budget?
A. (Lanza) So I'm not entirely sure I understand the question.
Q. Let me -- I'll try to clarify it before you answer a question that $I$ haven't phrased very well.

So you have a fixed budget for the fire hydrants in the two towns. And that's been negotiated and it's in the settlement. And that assumes some level of service and some number of fire hydrants that you're going to put in, et cetera. Now, let's say you were going to put in 10 fire hydrants as your plan, and the towns come back to you and say, Well, we actually need 25. We've studied it, and we need more. How many fire hydrants would you put in?
A. (Lanza) So there's nothing that I'm aware of that would restrict the amount of hydrants added to the system. We would then, at the rate increase, we would request those hydrant charges to be updated to reflect what had happened.
Q. Would that be in the steps or in your next rate case?
A. (Lanza) My understanding is in the rate case. But Steve can correct me if I'm wrong on that.
A. (St. Cyr) Be in the next rate case.
Q. And one clarification. When I was reading
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over the record, I was getting confused. When was the last rate case? What year? What was the last test year for the rate case?
A. (St. Cyr) So I believe it was a 2017 case, which would have had a 2016 test year.
Q. So you now had a 2016 test year, a 2019 test year, and this rate case. So one could assume that 2022 or 2023 would be the next rate case. You'll be back here soon.
A. (St. Cyr) Although we do have a stayout provision.
Q. There is a stayout in there, isn't there, yeah. The stayout was through 2023? Am I remembering that right?

MS. LEWIS-MORSE: '24.
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: '24.
[Court Reporter interrupts.]
MS. LEWIS-MORSE: 2024 would be the case year, and 2025 we would be able to come back for a rate case.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
BY CHAIRMAN GOLDNER:
Q. So then, if there was some abundance of fire
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hydrants that needed to be put into the towns, and HAWK decided it was the right thing for safety and so forth, you wouldn't be able to recover until the next rate case in 2025?
A. (St. Cyr) That's correct.
Q. Okay. A question for Mr. Brogan. In your testimony, there's a reference in your testimony to an e-mail with NHDES which was included in the testimony with Mr. Unger. The discussion starts on Page 5. But I'm not sure I follow the representation with respect to the tank sizing. So I was hoping you could point me to, you know, the historical water usage, future year forecast, resulting tank sizes. Is there an analysis somewhere that $I$ didn't see that sort of pulls everything together so you can see how the tank size was arrived at?
A. (Brogan) I think the -- let's see how to put this. There was not a lot of detail in that regard provided by the Company in this case. They don't have an engineer on staff, although they hired an engineer to work on
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the tank. That was probably some of why I asked Mike Unger at DES some of my questions.

I think if you look at -- so Mike -- the different e-mails reference back to a 2021 e-mail. I'm looking at Bates Page 19 in my testimony, which I think is the only clue that we have about how the tank was sized. And just kind of a sniff test, I mean, it looks reasonable to me. It's based on the average daily flows, presumably in 2019 because they're a little bit higher now. But that's -- and on, you know, 20 percent of average daily flow for equalization storage, which is kind of a standard. And it has the -- it includes fire flow storage based on specifics in Plaistow and et cetera. So the numbers in that table were actually to kind of justify reducing the size of the tank down from 2 million to 1 million gallons. So I don't know how well $I$ did describing it, but that's what we have, I think, on tank sizing.
Q. And then from a cost perspective, and I'm doing this from memory, but it was something like the tank would have been $a$ million and a
half, but with the subsidies that came from the state, it ended up being $\$ 800,000$ or something like that. Am I on the right million-gallon tank?
A. (Brogan) I'm not real good on the dollars. I know that -- so the tank is half for Plaistow and half for Atkinson. The Plaistow portion was 100 percent grant money from the state. The Atkinson half was -- how did that work? It ended up -- was it 50 percent? Anyway --
Q. Yeah, I don't have the Bates page in front of me, but $I$ think it was roughly a 50 percent subsidy, I think, for the 500,000.
A. (Brogan) It ended up the Atkinson portion was a 25 percent grant and the remainder was a loan from the state. So, you know, if you add the 100 percent of the Plaistow half as a grant and 25 percent of -- you end up with 62-1/2 percent, I think, total for the tank that was a grant and the rest was a loan.
Q. Okay. Very good, very good. That was what I was aiming for. Okay. Very good. Just a couple more questions I think.

So in sort of preparing for this particular
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case, we went back and we looked at the 2012 docket, which was 12-170. And there's a discussion in there, long before Commissioner Simpson and I were here, about excess capacity. And it looks like this issue's been around for a while.

Would there be -- would anyone care to comment on this sort of excess capacity comment that keeps coming up over and over again? It seems like it won't go away.
A. (St. Cyr) Well, I can address the capacity issue as it pertained to the earlier rate cases, and that had to do with the fact that there were certain systems that were built. But the number of units that the system was supposed to serve wasn't fully built out. So there were adjustments, I want to say maybe three or four systems, where if 50 percent of the total number of units were built and being served, then only 50 percent of the costs were allowed in rates. So as each of those subsequent rate cases came along, there were more units built, and the adjustment was base. The capacity issue in those earlier
cases had to do with specific developments and the status of when those units would be fully built out. And I think in this case, I want to say there's only now one of those systems where there's still, you know, a certain percentage to reduce the Company's rate base by that amount.
Q. Okay. Thank you.

And then a final question on the rate design. First of all, you know, compliments on what looks like a very well-thought-through rate design. Just a couple of questions with respect to rate design.

There's a discussion of exemplary performance, and we have a .25 percent adder. And I was trying to understand what -there's some comments about exemplary performance in the filing, but $I$ wanted to give the opportunity to maybe talk a little bit about what represents "exemplary performance" here.
A. (St. Cyr) I guess I can start. So the whole concept of "exemplary performance" came out
of the investigative docket, DW 19-005. And the subject of the docket was largely around the return on equity. And it came about from three small water companies, including Hampstead, that joined with the PUC Staff at the time and the OCA to try to come up with a formula in which to develop a way in which to determine the return on equity and thereby prohibit the Company and the other smaller companies from having to hire somebody to essentially speak for what that ROE should be. So out of that particular docket came a formula that we've used in this proceeding that's produced a 9.63 percentage. And then in addition to that there were, $I$ want to say maybe half a dozen items that a company could cite that would demonstrate exemplary performance. And they range from capacity to pressure, to quality of water, you know, customer matters. There was a half a dozen items. And as part of the Company's initial filing, we proposed, $I$ want to say a . 25 percent adder for exemplary performance, and cited some of the specific things that
had taken place. And then, of course, over the course of the proceeding there were some things given and some things taken, and this was one thing that was taken.
Q. And in this formula, and perhaps future rate cases, is there a maximum on this formula, this .25? Does the formula yield a maximum of one, or is this the max?
A.
(St. Cyr) So my recollection, the baseline formula was kind of a formula where you could go to different outside sources to demonstrate what the sort of baseline return on equity would be. The adders had certain parameters. There was a 50 basis points parameter for utilities not to hire cost-of-equity witnesses. And I think that was a straight, standard amount. The
exemplary performance basis points varied from, I want to say zero to another potentially 50 basis points. But basically the companies would then have to make their case as to why they believe that some of the actions that they took were appropriate and what the basis points would be.
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Q. Okay. Very good.

MR. AUGERI: Chairman Goldner, if I could just clarify on that line of questioning. And I'm sure Ms. Gage may have some further comments on the OCA's behalf.

That was the subject of that docket. It never materialized to an order. And in fact, the negotiations that led to the settlement were such that the ROE is not based on any type of formula, either from that docket or otherwise. The Company's reserved the right to try to seek that in the future. But it's not part of what the ROE submitted for this settlement is. But the explanation that Mr . St. Cyr gave is that's what happened in that docket. We were trying to formulate something more formal.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. I see. Then I would take that also to mean that the . 5 adder for rate case expense savings was also not part of this proceeding?

MR. AUGERI: That's correct. It's a negotiated ROE of 9.63, with no tie to a table or adders or anything of the like.
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CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Yeah, the debt-to-equity ratio looks very good. And I think the overall weighted average cost of capital was very sensible.

Okay. That is it for Commission questions, unless Commissioner Simpson has anything to add.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: I do not, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
So we'll move to redirect. Mr. Augeri, would you like to begin or go last?

MR. AUGERI: I think I'll go last,
Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. Let me organize my notes here quickly.

MR. AUGERI: And Mr. Chairman, if I could further clarify, we actually don't -- I may not plan on any redirect, depending on what happens through Attorney Tuomala or any of the other parties.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good.

So maybe, Mr. Patch, would you like
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to begin?
MR. PATCH: I only have two brief questions or areas of questions. And I think Mr. Fox, they're both for you.

EXAMINATION
BY MR. PATCH:
Q. I heard you say in response to a question from the Chair that it was originally a 500 percent increase, in terms of the hydrant rate. And I guess I'm trying to understand that, because it was my understanding that it went from $\$ 200$ per hydrant in the original proposal to $\$ 1419$, and there was an annual availability charge of $\$ 2,000$. But I think the way we calculated it, it was over 600 percent. So I'd just like you to clarify that.
A. (Fox) Yeah, you're right, Mr. Patch. Thank you for that. Allow me to clarify.

When I was speaking to the north of 500 percent was not based on our initial filing, but allowing for the negotiated cost of service, negotiated revenue requirements to run through under permanent rates if there
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was not an adjustment tied to Step 2 after the revenue requirements had been negotiated throughout the proceeding.
Q. Okay. Thank you for that.
A. (Fox) You're welcome.
Q. And second area concerns, I guess I'll call it the "fixed charge" for the towns of Atkinson and Hampstead. And those fixed amounts are based on, and correct me if I'm wrong, the number of hydrants that were present, that were there in each town during the Company's test year 2019. Is that correct?
A. (Fox) Yes.
Q. And that's reflected in the Settlement Agreement, on Exhibit 3, on Page 12, the last sentence in the first full paragraph under Municipal Fire Protection and Amended Tariff Language? Do $I$ have that correct? And if so, could you read that into the record.
A. (Fox) Okay. I'm on the Settlement, Exhibit 3, Bates Page 12. Where am I going, Mr. Patch? I'm sorry.
Q. It's the last sentence under Roman $I$,
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Municipal Fire Protection Rate, in the first paragraph.
A. (Fox) "The Settling Parties further" is that what you're referring to?
Q. No. "The Settling Parties" -- I'll read it and you tell me if $I$ read it correctly.
"The Settling Parties note that the rate is based upon the number of hydrants in the Company's test year and applied to the Cost of Service study." Did I read that correctly?
A. (FOX) You did. My apologies. I was one sentence too early. Yes, you were correct.
Q. And that's your understanding of how those fixed charges were arrived at; correct?
A. (Fox) Yes.

MR. PATCH: That's all my questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Mr.
Patch.
So Hampstead, Ms. Warnock, did file to intervene. If you'd like to speak, I just need to confirm that you're authorized to speak on behalf of the Town. Oh, there you
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are. You moved.
MS. WARNOCK: Yes, I am authorized to speak on behalf of the Town. We were satisfied with the negotiations as they have taken place and the settlement as has been proposed.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you.

Okay. So let's move to the Office of Consumer Advocate.

MS. DESMET: Thank you.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MS. DESMET:
Q. I just wanted to ask Ms. Gage if she wanted to further clarify the OCA's position on the formula from the IR docket, if she felt it was necessary.
A. (Gage) Yeah, sure, I'll do that. I made it abundantly clear that the OCA would never sign on to a settlement where formulaic ROEs were involved. And it was sort of a provision to us signing this one. Formulaic ROEs represent a slippery slope when it comes to ROE, in terms of ratemaking, and a
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dangerous step for New Hampshire that I don't recommend.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
BY MS. DESMET:
Q. And if $I$ also -- let me just clarify.

Were there issues with some of the tools used in that formula that aren't available to parties currently?
A. (Gage) Yes. For example, I believe in that IR docket -- there's actually a handful of issues using that $I R$ docket to relate to this case. But specifically to your question, I believe SNL is referenced, as well as potentially Value Line. And my understanding is that not the PUC nor the DOE nor the OCA has access to those financial tools. So it would also be ratemaking without the tools to actually, how do you say, examine the market ourselves before allowing basically a company to set their own formulaic ROE with tools that, yeah, we just don't have in-house, for one thing.

And another thing, also just to mention, if there had been an order in that case, and
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that were a PUC rule, it would not apply to this company because they're too large, per the IR docket, like number of customers.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Very good. Thank you.

MS. DESMET: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Mr. Tuomala.
MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
I just have a few quick questions for Anthony Leone briefly regarding the Chairman's comments about the step adjustment. And I believe he stated that the sole purpose was to avoid rate shock.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
BY MR. TUOMALA:
Q. But just to clarify for the record, could you give some other reasons behind the decision to recommend two step adjustments, such as an attempt to perm recoupment only reflects the permanent rate, but also, given the long investigation period of 18 months, this makes the Company somewhat whole and avoids them immediately having to file for another rate case if those steps were not included?
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[Court Reporter interrupts.]
Q. The Company would be under-earning if those steps were not included.
A. (Leone) Yes, I would say everything you said there is correct. I believe the ten and the nine percentage increases would possibly put the Company in the position where they may have to consider going back in. So yes.

MR. TUOMALA: Thank you. I have no further questions, Mr. Chairman.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: May I ask a follow-up?

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Sure.
COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: With respect to the schedule of the two steps, I had asked one of the witnesses earlier about that and the phasing in. And I'm thinking about it with respect to the stayout. How did you determine the suggested timeline for implementation of the steps with respect to what's proposed in the settlement?

WITNESS LEONE: To answer that, Commissioner, $I$ would say that it is a product of negotiation in trying to make the
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Company -- or really give the Company
opportunity to earn the return on those
investments while being cautious of layering
too many increases on the customers over a
quick period of time.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: Thank you. Appreciate that.

I don't have any further questions, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
Okay. We'll move to Mr. Augeri.
MR. AUGERI: At this time, Mr.
Chairman, we do not have any redirect for these witnesses.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you, sir. Okay. Just a moment.
(Commissioners confer off the record.)
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Moving on. Our intent this afternoon is to provide Ms. Steele with an opportunity to take the stand to provide the parties and Commission with an opportunity to conduct cross-examination with respect to her filed testimony as appropriate, and if the parties
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wish to.
Ms. Steele, I'll take the opportunity to remind you we're here to examine Hampstead Water Company's increase in rates accordingly. All testimony from you must be relevant to the Company's petition for a rate change, including, if helpful, the underlying assumptions and calculations that support the Company's petition and testimony. We're not here to examine the Town of Atkinson's management of its water system or the rates that the Town charges its residents. So we ask that you recognize those parameters when you respond to a question.

Now, Ms. Desmet, per your agreement with Ms. Steele, would you like to qualify Ms. Steele to represent herself on the stand? I think that was the arrangement. But you look puzzled.

MS. DESMET: Just the wording, I suppose. Yes, I'm able to go through basic questions with her.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
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So Ms. Steele, if you're comfortable, we would invite you up to the stand so we can swear you in. And the current witnesses are excused.
(WHEREUPON, KAREN STEELE was duly sworn and cautioned by the Court Reporter.) DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MS. DESMET:
Q. Good afternoon again, Ms. Steele.
A. Good afternoon.
Q. Could you just again please state your name and tell us your relation to this pending matter.
A. Absolutely. My name is Karen Steele. And I am a resident and taxpayer in Atkinson, New Hampshire.
Q. And do you -- briefly, if you want to tell the Commission and parties your background?
A. I am a mechanical engineer. I have degrees in mechanical engineering, psychology and manufacturing management, as well as a certified project manager. And I work mostly in IT these days, but $I$ in no way am representing my current employer.
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Q. And you prepared written testimony in this proceeding; is that correct?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And that is dated December 10, 2021?
A. That is correct.
Q. Also premarked as Exhibit No. 11?
A. Correct.
Q. And in that testimony, you talked about various issues that relate to the Company's rate case proposal as it was filed in 2020; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And if you were asked the questions as outlined and stated in your testimony today, would your answers be the same?
A. Correct. There's one small correction to make in Exhibit 11. Is now the time to mention that?
Q. Yes.
A. I had it right here. It was with regard to the Winchester property. I had further examined and recognized that the data in the Town of Hampstead's data was not as accurate. And when I updated it and looked in \{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}
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newhampshiredeeds.org is when I identified that the sale amount in 2021 -- or 2020 was for a smaller amount of land, and so the comparison that I made in my testimony is inaccurate.
Q. Do you recall where that was in your testimony? Do you have the page number?
A. Let me find it. I had it marked.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: I believe there's a mention of this on Page 3 of your testimony, but I'll let you clarify that for us.
A. I know $I$ had it in... Exhibit 12 , my response to the DOE is where I write the correction. So Exhibit 12, Bates 6, I said, "I would like to acknowledge a correction to my prefiled testimony. The land sales figures from the Town of Hampstead's Assessors page (Exhibit KS-4) were misleading. Upon further research in NHDeeds.org, $I$ have been able to confirm that that land sale in 1997 was for 29.75 acres and the land sale in 2019 was for the 17.56 acres." So if it was KS-4, it means it is on Bates 24.
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BY MS. DESMET:
Q. Okay. Are from any other corrections?
A. No. Not that I know of yet.
Q. So do you adopt that testimony as your testimony today?
A. I do.
Q. And then you submitted a number of other exhibits. You were just talking about Exhibit 12. So if I could just tell the Commission and the parties what that is and how it relates to this proceeding.
A. Exhibit 12 was my responses to discovery questions placed by the DOE in January. There's a lot of data here. Again, I
mentioned the Winchester property. But one comment I would like to make is on Bates 13, where my response in question to $A$ says, "In multiple conversations with Senator Chuck Morris over the last couple years, and as recently as November 16,2021 , he stated that 'It was never my intention that the townspeople would have to pay for this pipeline project.'"
Q. Okay. And then you also submitted an
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Exhibit 13. So essentially the same question; if you can tell the Commission what that is and how it relates to this proceeding.
A. Certainly. Exhibit 13 is in response to OCA. And a lot of this had to do with the over-pumping, of Hampstead Area Water Company's over-pumping at the Kent Farm well field. In there is the court order, the preliminary injunction, DES's report confirming the over-pumping of that well, as well as Hampstead Water advocate's report. But also on Bates 107, it does say in this -- again, $I$ know it's a snippet of another memo that I believe Mr. Brogan has put in his testimony. But it says in here that in HAWK, there wasn't a particular development that prompted the additional supply request. And this is in response to, in Salem, the supply request was to support the Tuscan Village. And I just think that that perhaps is not as accurate as it should be, given the detailed documentation and evidence of the plans to be built at the
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country club of 800 condominiums referenced, a hotel and entertainment complex, a bank branch, retail spaces, professional buildings, assisted living and a gas station. So I don't think that that memo is quite accurate when it says that HAWK didn't have a particular development that prompted the additional supply request.
Q. Okay. Thank you. And the same thing for your exhibit marked No. 14. What is it, and why is it relevant?
A. No. 14 is actually part of the Atkinson Area Wastewater recycling docket, 20-021 -- or 071, excuse me. And 071 ended up getting combined with 20-091.

And at this point I think I'd like to be able to -- let me make sure $I$ get the terminology right. I would like to respectfully request that the Commission take administrative notice, per PUC Rule 203:27, Section A, Subsection 2. In this particular document on Bates 5, this is for the rate recovery expense. And the recommendation here is that the Company is proposing that
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the rate recovery happen from future customers, not necessarily the one customer that they had at the time. They are asking for a surcharge for 36 months of $\$ 20.54$ per month to 65 customers. And again, at this point in time, there was only one customer. They're in the process of selling those two -- or 64 condos. And so I would like to request that the rate recovery case in this case, the rate recovery also go to future customers and not the current customer base.

MR. AUGERI: Chairman Goldner, we'd note our objection for this particular exhibit that she's testified about. This relates solely to a separate docket before this Commission. It's already been resolved. It's been granted an order. So I'm not -- we would object, in that we don't believe the witness has shown a correlation to this particular rate case, as to the relevance of this material.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Would you like to respond, Ms. Steele?

MS. STEELE: Yes. I think my whole
argument about my objection to the revenue requirement in this case is that it is massive infrastructure that has been put in place for future customers and not for current customers. And so if this -- I don't think the current customers should be paying for the development that will end up supplying all the future customers on the Atkinson Country Club property. And this, I think, is a precedent that I'd like to apply as well, that if that particular PUC-regulated company can put the costs on future customers, I request that these costs also go to future customers.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I think Mr.
Augeri's point is that the prior dockets came in front of the PUC. The PUC approved the costs as just and reasonable and prudent. And so once that process is complete, then we move on to the next docket. So I think that we have to -- I think that I'll sustain Mr. Augeri's objection, in terms of making sure that we're only talking about the items at issue in this docket. So if you can just
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keep your comments on that framework. Now, Mr. Augeri, are we -- you had suggested -- you had objected before to multiple exhibits. Does it begin with Exhibit 14, or does it begin with Exhibit 17?

MR. AUGERI: Mr. Chairman, it begins with this Exhibit 13 -- I'm sorry -14 and right through to 19. The whole lot of them are -- fall into that category of, either in this case Exhibit 14 being a different docket; 15, same, different docket that's referenced, an 05 docket letter; exhibit 16, Docket 06, which also includes a many, many-years-old agreement with the Town appended to it; 17, Docket 10-111, which relates to a submission in that docket showing 2007 water loss data; and then as Attorney Tuomala indicated for Exhibits 18 and 19, that's material that none of the parties had seen before this submission. So I guess that would be putting a bit more finer point on the specific objections to the exhibits of Ms. Steele.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank
you. We'll caucus here for a minute and come back to you.

MS. STEELE: Excuse me. I was wondering if $I$ might read the actual rule from the PUC, 203:27.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: The DOJ is sitting next to me, so we're covered. We'll get back to you in a second.

MS. STEELE: Thank you.
(Commissioners conferring off the record.)

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. So what we'll do is we'll let Ms. Steele go through and make her case for each of the exhibits, one by one, and why she wants to admit them into evidence, and why -- and then at the conclusion of that process we'll circle back and issue a ruling on what's documentary and what's testimonial evidence and what gets excluded.

So if we can please proceed, Ms.
Desmet.
MS. DESMET: Thank you. So I think we're on No. 15 at this point.
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BY MS. DESMET:
So Ms. Steele, you submitted what's been marked as Exhibit 15. If you could tell the Commission what it is and how it's relevant to this proceeding and what you want them to know about it.
A. Absolutely. Thank you.

So the very first Bates page, Page No. 1 in Steele 15, does reference the original contract with the Town of Atkinson. And at this point it was Walnut Ridge Company. And I'm just showing for history that at this point it clearly states in point 2 that the contract is that the cost to maintain each hydrant would be $\$ 160$ per year. And Point No. 1 is the cost to the Town for the availability and maintenance to the fire district would be $\$ 1,925$.

So even when we get to Bates 4 -- now this is Docket 05-177 -- this is where now we have HAWK has the water tank and the pressure to be able to provide pressurized hydrants. And that's why this is a request for the approval of a fire district and fire
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protection rates in the town of Atkinson. It still, on Bates 4, indicates that the agreement provides that HAWK charges a flat rate of $\$ 1925$ for the availability of water and the maintenance of the fire district, plus a per-hydrant cost of $\$ 160$ per hydrant for maintenance of hydrants. So now this is after Atkinson has become a fire district, and they're still only charging for maintenance per hydrant.

And I think that this is critical because, as I mentioned before, nobody would increase maintenance costs on a hydrant from $\$ 200$ to $\$ 1400$-- $\$ 1419$. And so along the lines, the language changes and starts calling it an "annual hydrant fee." And it is that annual hydrant fee in the data that was handed to Mr. Fox. So I believe that the cost of service study is flawed because of an incorrect assumption. The Town has only agreed to pay for the maintenance of each hydrant and the per-hydrant fee, and that is why I believe 15, Exhibit 15 is relevant.
Q. Thank you. Same for what's been marked as

Exhibit No. 15, if you could.
A. So, No. 16 --
Q. Sorry, 16.
A. So 16 is DW 06-155, and this is the petition for the fire district in Hampstead. And at this point they're still mentioning maintenance. On Bates No. 4 it still mentions "plus an annual maintenance charge." At this point it's now up to $\$ 200$ per hydrant. Same argument.
Q. Thank you. And what's been marked as Exhibit No. 17, can you tell us what it is and why you believe it's relevant and what you want the Commission to know about it?
A. I will concede on Exhibit 17 and 19. I'd like to go to Exhibit 18, if we could.
Q. Okay. So, same questions for Exhibit 18. And just to clarify, are you withdrawing Exhibits 17 and 19?
A. Is that the appropriate direction, protocol?

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: I think that would be acceptable, yeah.
A. Okay. Then yes, I wish to withdraw Exhibits 17 and 19, please.
\{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}

Exhibit 18 from data from the PUC web site from HAWK's annual reports from 2008 to 2020 shows HAWK's revenue and the profit and loss, as well as the number of customers and the percent profit and loss. I've got three yellow call-outs there that indicate exactly when a rate increase was impacted to the customers. There's a lovely lady in town who has maintained every single bill she's ever gotten from HAWK, and I was able to go through that binder and find out exactly when each of these rate increases has been impacting the customers. And I think it's very clear to see the positive impact.

And if you look back at 2019, there were several different increases. So in 2018, HAWK had a loss of $\$ 137,082$. And the rate increase, excuse me, in 2018 had a very positive impact, because in 2019 the loss went down to $\$ 51,967$, and in 2020 the loss went down to $\$ 19,674$. I think that those prior rate increases were effective and that in 2021, had they not spent all the money on the infrastructure for additional volume for
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future customers, that it would have been a positive year for them. And so, again, I think that that is relevant as well and recommend that we keep that on the record. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. I think that completes the exhibits, Ms. Desmet?

MS. DESMET: It does. I was just going to ask Ms. Steele if this would be akin to her opening statement at this point, if there were any other points she wanted to testify to today.
A. If you could just give me a moment to move my papers around.

So one of the main purposes of the Southern New Hampshire Regional Pipeline Project, as we've heard, is to get clean drinking water to Plaistow from Manchester to Derry, to Salem, to HAWK, through Atkinson to Plaistow, as Plaistow has significant groundwater contamination due to oil and gas spills. The State of New Hampshire's Drinking Water Trust Fund gave the money to each water company for all of the
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infrastructure needed to get the necessary volumes of water to Plaistow. HAWK received over $\$ 5$ million in free funds for this purpose. Had HAWK chosen to simply be the conduit from Salem to Plaistow, it would not have cost them any money, and we would not be here today discussing a rate case.

So, again, I would like to point out that where would this water go. And if I could direct you to Exhibit 11, Bates 81, it's just a quick little visual that kind of shows where the pipeline water entering HAWK's system would go. So ultimately 1.32 million would be leaving Salem and coming to HAWK. And if HAWK does end up consuming the full $\$ 750,000$ gallons today, where would the water go? So we know that 60 percent of the town today are non-HAWK customers.

And if you go to Bates 45 on Exhibit 11, Barbara Brown, who has been a real estate agent in town for over 45 years, and she is now on the planning board -- and at the June 2nd meeting of the planning board they
had a discussion about available lots, and they were talking about water. And Member Brown pointed out that there are only 10 parcels in Atkinson that can be developed that are greater than 10 acres. So it's important to know that there's not that much more developable land in Atkinson. And so it is a very relevant question to understand where is this water going to go. And when we remember of all the development plans for the country club, again starting with the regulations being written by Peter Lewis and then submitted as a citizens petition to create his own zone in Atkinson and to be able to change the density, as well as amendments in 2006 to increase the height of buildings -- so only in this brand new zone can buildings be 55 feet taller, whereas in the rest of town can only be 35 feet tall -"density" is the word I was thinking of. Excuse me. The rest of Atkinson is one home per two acres, but the density on the country club is four bedrooms per acre. So that would be 1600 one-bedroom units or 800
two-bedroom units.
And then also, again, with those regulations since they wrote them, they are a road map. And they have also indicated that they would eventually have a hotel and an entertainment complex. And that was presented in an order, the PUC order for the gas line, to have the gas line extended out to the country club, where they said that they -- the reason why Lewis Builders wanted the gas line extended all the way out was to build 800 condos, a hotel and an entertainment complex.

So I believe that this revenue requirement is over-inflated. And that is the beginning of the process. So once the revenue requirement was established, then the cost of service study and the rate design. So I think the revenue requirement should be rejected and that these rate increases, the permanent as well as Step $I$ and II, should be rejected.

The tariff language on the hydrants in the settlement shows good progress between
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the Company and the Towns of Atkinson and Hampstead. But I think more importantly what it shows is that it shows that there's great deficiencies today. Also, the current tariff language today shows that they have no liability. It says that rendering of service or lack of service of any -- "rendering of service under this schedule shall in no way be construed to hold the Company liable to furnish at any time or any specific point in its distribution system any minimum flow or pressure, either static or residual." So, given that they're not liable to provide water, I wonder what we're actually paying for today. And then to ask for a 500 percent increase on the hydrants $I$ think is unjust.

So the bottom line is, with this current settlement request they're looking at a 500 percent increase for the towns, and a 40 percent increase for the ratepayers. And hopefully you will reject this revenue requirement. But please ask that the tariff language reflects some level of commitment to hydrant volume and pressure. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank You, Ms. Steele.

Anything else, Ms. Desmet?
BY MS. DESMET:
Q. Does that conclude your testimony, Ms. Steele?
A. Yes. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. We'll open it up to questions. Mr. Augeri, would you like to begin?

MR. AUGERI: Yes, I will start. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. AUGERI:
Q. Ms. Steele, I'm going to direct you to your Exhibit 12, and specifically Bates 15 through 19.
A. I'm there.
Q. So your testimony is that the public fire protection increase is too high; yet, you've included this information as part of your own exhibits that shows how much the Hampstead Area Water Company pays in taxes to the Town of Atkinson; correct?
A. May I back up and explain why I added these \{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}
in?
Q. I'm just asking a simple question of what --
A. So the question -- the argument was that the reason that it was okay to charge the Town of Atkinson $\$ 100,000$ more in fire hydrants is because they would be -- that the Town of Atkinson would be receiving $\$ 60,000$ more in tax revenue. And so Bates 15 through 19 is showing that, even after the tank and the pump house were built, that the increase in tax revenue to the Town was only $\$ 30,000$ and not $\$ 60,000$. That was the purpose of that exhibit.
Q. Okay. But my question had to do with -let's go to Bates 19. That's the last full current tax year, you would agree, because it's the two semi-annual tax bills to the Hampstead Area Water Company from the Town of Atkinson?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So that shows $\$ 62,750$ between those two bills?
A. Correct.
Q. And the settlement proposed in Exhibit 3 has \{DW-20117\} [AFTERNOON SESSION ONLY] \{05-11-22\}
a flat hydrant charge -- municipal fire protection charge, excuse me, of roughly \$93,000?
A. Correct.
Q. So wouldn't the net increase to the Town have to reflect part of the evidence you submitted into the record, which is their tax income from the Company, when arriving at what you've testified as an overly -- rates that are for public fire protection would be too high to go to the citizens, which you are one of?
A. I don't think that -- I did put this data in my exhibits, but I don't think it's fair to say because we're going to give you more taxes, we can charge you 600 percent more per hydrant.
Q. That wasn't my question. My question was the amounts would need to be reflected to get a true account of what would end up to the taxpayers of Atkinson. Wouldn't that -isn't that accurate?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. The most recent bill on Bates 19 shows
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a net assessment of $\$ 5.736$ million. Do you see that?
A. I'm not seeing what the assessment is. I'm sorry.
Q. It's in bold at the bottom of the -- it's like two tickets kind of combined --
A. $\mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- and it says --
A. Oh, I see where the assessment, yes.
Q. Do you see where it says $\$ 5.736$ million?
A. Correct.
Q. And then Bates 15, which is 2017 , shows a \$1.89 million assessment?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you see that?
A. Correct.
Q. Your testimony before was -- doesn't that show that there's additional, not only assessments go up and down, but certainly shows additional infrastructure and thus benefit toward the Town, to which they thought was taxable? Wouldn't you agree?
A. Agree. The property taxes are valid, given the increased value. Correct. But I don't
think increased value of the land and the property justifies charging the Town exorbitant prices.
Q. On Page 3 of your testimony, Exhibit 11, Bates No. 3, if I could direct your attention to Line 11.
A. Bates 3?
Q. Yes.
A. Okay.
Q. You indicate there's no other instance in New Hampshire where a building developer owns a water company. Do you see that statement?
A. That was a reference to an article from NHPR. I did not do that research.
Q. So the accuracy of that statement is based on an outside source?
A. Correct.
Q. Are you -- have you researched who the owner of the Hampstead Area Water Company is other than that one news article?
A. Have I researched who owns Hampstead Area Water Company?
Q. Yes, that's the question.
A. Yes.
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Q. And you have. And you found out that it was the building developer?
A. It is the same family. At one point it was Lewis Builders who owned Hampstead Area Water Company. They had no employees. It wasn't until 2006 that HAWK had the first employees. So it was run by Lewis Builders.

MR. AUGERI: Citing to the same rule Ms. Steele cited to on administrative notice, I'd ask the Commission to take administrative notice of the fact that Christine Lewis-Morse, for the last five dockets, has been listed and audited as the sole shareholder through her trust of the Hampstead Area Water Company. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Noted.

BY MR. AUGERI :
Q. Ms. Steele, if that was correct, then that part of your statement would be incorrect. Would that be your understanding?
A. Are you asking for a distinction between a company that owns a company or a person that owns all of the shares of a company?
Q. I'm asking you for the distinction between an
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individual versus a company.
A. And in this case, $I$ don't think you can make that distinction because the same person owns all the companies.
Q. Thank you.

Referencing Bates 7, Exhibit 11 of your statement, you indicate on Line 11, in 2006, 288 condos were approved by the Atkinson Planning Board --
A. $\mathrm{Hmm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.
Q. -- and recorded -- it doesn't say "recorded," but that's what Plan D35619 found in New Hampshire Deeds. Do you see that statement?
A. Correct.
Q. So this project was approved in 2006?
A. Correct.
Q. To your knowledge, how many units are built today, in 2022?
A. I'm not sure if the second whole building is completed. But my understanding was that the initial phase, starting in 2020, was to build two of the buildings that contained 32 condos each. So that would be 64.
Q. Going to reference your Exhibits 15 and 16.
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Am I correct that your prior testimony was for historical purposes of the original Walnut Ridge Water Company agreement for public fire protection with the Town of Atkinson?
A. Yes, that's where it starts. Correct.
Q. And you are aware that the Town of Atkinson has joined in the settlement that has been submitted as Exhibit 13; correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. Did you consult with the Town of Atkinson for this particular issue?
A. No. I wasn't involved in the settlement discussions. I found later on a large e-mail trail that had not had my name on it.
Q. Okay. Turning your attention to exhibit -and that is also for Exhibit 16; correct? That was for historical purposes of the agreement and, I believe you testified, was the evolution of that agreement in the various dockets in this exhibit?
A. Correct. It does show the evolution of -what I'm trying to find out, and I've still been unable to, is who decided when to change
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it from a "maintenance charge per hydrant" to calling it an "annual hydrant charge," because if it weren't called "annual hydrant charge," if it was still called "maintenance," as was agreed to, I don't think that anybody would agree that we could increase the maintenance per hydrant by 600 percent.
Q. You are aware that any increase in public fire protection rates are not effective, no mater what the agreement is, until it's approved by the Commission, though; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Turning to Exhibit 18, I just wanted Clarification. There was a previous objection by Attorney Tuomala.

Had this particular chart been produced prior to the submission of your exhibits for this hearing?
A. It had not been submitted to the distribution, but it was on my computer.

MR. AUGERI: Okay. So, Chairman, I think $I$ reserve further questioning. I know Attorney Tuomala has quite a bit of rebuttal
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> testimony that he had for Ms. Steele. I may come back to it because we did file some limited rebuttal testimony through Mr . St. Cyr.

> And then at this stage I'd only add, in addition to the previous objection, we would also join in on Attorney Tuomala's objection specifically to Document 18 -excuse me -- Exhibit 18 to Ms. Steele's testimony, on the basis that the -- on the additional basis that it had not been provided to the parties before.

> CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
> We'll move next to Mr . Patch, Town of Atkinson.

MR. PATCH: No questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Ms.
Warnock.
MS. WARNOCK: No questions.
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Ms.
Desmet, I guess you're covered. Or would you like to --

MS. DESMET: We don't have any
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questions. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: That would have been awkward.

Okay. Mr. Tuomala.
MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I believe the rebuttal testimony has been covered previously, so I'm not going to entertain any questions or subject matter from the rebuttal testimony.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. TUOMALA:
Q. But $I$ did have a few brief questions for you, Ms. Steele.

In relation to your statement that, had HAWK merely been a conduit for the Southern New Hampshire Water Project, then we wouldn't be here at this rate proceeding today, with that comment in mind, if $I$ could turn your attention to Exhibit No. 13. And that's your responses to the OCA. And specifically starting at Bates Page 3, that included an order from Rockingham County Superior Court for a preliminary injunction. And just bear with me for a moment, please.
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A. That's okay because I lost 13, too.
Q. Just let me know when you have it in front of you.
(Pause)
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: What Bates page, Mr. Tuomala?

MR. TUOMALA: In general it starts on Bates Page 3, but I think I'm going to hone in on Bates Page 49. It's more just general questions regarding the outcome of this preliminary injunction.
A. I'm sorry. What page?

BY MR. TUOMALA:
Q. It starts at Page 3, but I'm also looking at Page 49. These are just general questions. I'm not citing any specific language.

My understanding of this order is it granted a preliminary injunction against HAWK from pumping further water from wells due to these residents who were experiencing water shortages.

And my question to you is -- the Southern New Hampshire Water Project brings additional capacity into the core systems.
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And judging by this preliminary injunction, there is a possibility that some of the wells in the surrounding area of HAWK's wells are facing a depletion of water. Is it fair to say that Southern New Hampshire Water Project bringing extra capacity could offset some of these potential damages of HAWK over-pumping or pumping other wells that would lead to shortages, based either by private well holders or the Company's wells themselves?
A. Obviously getting more water into the system is preferable. Absolutely. I could go into a lot more detail into this injunction. I don't know that you want me to. But absolutely, getting more water from Manchester and alleviating -- believe me, as a private well owner, I've been very grateful since August of 2020 that HAWK hasn't been pumping as much water out of the ground.
Q. Okay. So I guess in summary, it is fair to say that HAWK's participation, more than a mere conduit, actually gaining extra capacity does benefit not only its ratepayers, but also private well owners in the area?
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A. As long as they don't continue to over-pump, that's correct.
Q. Thank you.

MR. TUOMALA: I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. Thank you. So we'll move to Commissioner questions, beginning with Commissioner Simpson.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: I'd ask if we could take a brief break. We're an hour and 25 minutes in.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Of course. Yes. So let's return at 20 till. Thank you. Off the record.
(Brief recess was taken at 2:27 p.m.,
and the hearing resumed at 2:49 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Okay. We'll
move to Commissioner questions, beginning with Commissioner Simpson.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

INTERROGATORIES BY COMMISSIONERS:
BY COMMISSIONER SIMPSON:
Q. So Ms. Steele, were you asked to participate in any of the conversations with respect to the Settlement Agreement that's been put forth before us?
A. There was settlement discussions in December that $I$ was at, and then there were some, one in January and one in March. What happened is, I found out after the fact, there's an e-mail that started on March 7th that I was not on, and then there was a technical session on April 18th. And I had no idea what they were all talking about. I hadn't seen any of the documents that were sent out. And then on April 22nd is when an e-mail from Mr. Augeri I saw, could see the whole e-mail trail and realized that $I$ had been left off of the whole interaction.
Q. And did you have any input at that time with respect to the settlement? Were you asked for your position or if you had comments on the settlement at that time, as drafted?
A. As I understood it, they were all discussing hydrant fees at a more detailed level;
whereas, my objection is at a much higher
level, objecting to the revenue requirement to begin with. So, no, I was not involved in that level of detail in their settlement discussions.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the settlement? Have you reviewed it in any detail?
A. Yes, I have read the whole document. And I think that, again, some of the language in there, the tariff language, that's real progress because I'm not at all happy with the tariff language today. And the discussions about the different volumes. As I mentioned before, HAWK was never designed to be a municipal fire provider. They started off with building community wells, providing water to homes and then interconnecting those. And so they don't have the full infrastructure. So I think the idea of doing testing and identifying what the actual flow and volume is for each hydrant and then color coding them is excellent.
Q. So it's your understanding that when

Hampstead Area Water Company was originally formed, it was not intended to provide fire protection services?
A. That is correct, not until 1994, at least as far as the documents I've been able to find.
Q. So do you feel that that's outside of their business and should remain outside of their business today?
A. No. I think it's a wonderful benefit. If we're going to have piping to, you know, 40 percent of Atkinson and along the main roads, I think we all benefit. I mean, I know I'm at least a mile from the closest hydrant, but that's a lot better than being five miles. So $I$ think we all do benefit. But I also -- if you know how Atkinson is set up, I'm not as sure about Hampstead, but we have a few main roads and then the developments are cul-de-sacs. So a significant majority of the hydrants that they maintain and that they have are at dead-end developments. So the hydrants on the main roads do benefit the entire town. But no fire department is going to go a
half-mile down the road to a dead-end to use a fire hydrant with a much smaller diameter pipe. They're going to go to the ones on the main roads. So we do not all benefit equally.
Q. It seems as if from your testimony you're concerned with the capacity additions that the Company has been making and is proposing to make. Is that correct?
A. Correct. Again, there's the conflict back and forth between the development and then the ability to provide the water. And as with Saw Mill Ridge, the development came first, providing the water came second, and then coincides -- I cannot claim causation, but I can certainly say correlation -- with the over-pumping of the Kent Farm well field in Hampstead.
Q. And when you say "development," can you be more specific for me?
A. Saw Mill Ridge is a development of 123 condos in North Atkinson. It borders Hampstead.
Q. And you testified that some of your concerns are with respect to development from other
companies affiliated; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I'm confused as to what has been built. What you are saying is, in your view, in the pipeline to be built to serve off of the Hampstead Area Water Company system with respect to these other real estate developments. Can you clarify that for me?
A. Are you talking about the conflict $I$ mentioned with regard to the Winchester property in Hampstead?
Q. Well, I think at a high level, it seems as if your concern is that the water utility is over-building in order to serve property needs in the future. Is that true?
A. Correct. It is the property needs on the Atkinson Country Club, the plans that have been in the works for decades, and now that the pipeline is there and that they have water, they're starting to build. And so the concern is absolutely that the infrastructure was put in place to satisfy the water needs of Lewis Builders developments.
Q. Have you done any analysis as to what impact
the realization of added customer loads would have on water rates from the Company?
A. I don't ever see the water rates going down, which is why it concerns me to see a 40 percent increase. But no, I have not done that analysis.
Q. Okay. Thank you, Ms. Steele.

COMMISSIONER SIMPSON: I don't think I have any further questions, Mr . Chairman.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
Yeah, Ms. Steele, I would just like to thank you for all your work in this docket. And you've obviously put in a lot of effort, exhibits, and you've put in a lot of time. So just on behalf of the Commission, I'd like to thank you. And you're excused from the uncomfortable seat, and you can return to your other seat when you want. You'll have one more opportunity to comment in close. So if there's anything else you'd like to add, you'll have an opportunity here in just a couple minutes.

MS. STEELE: Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you.
Okay. At long last we've gotten to the exhibits. And so I think without objection, we'll strike I.D. on Exhibits 1 through 13. No objections on 1 through 13; correct? I think everybody's okay.

On Exhibits 14, 15 and 16, I'll address my comments to Ms. Steele. We understand your argument. We don't need to take prior orders as evidentiary. We have access to them. So we appreciate your putting it together. We understand what you did. It's helpful. But in terms of admitting it as evidence, we have access to it and we can look at that material accordingly. So we don't need to exhibit [sic] as a full exhibit.

On Exhibit 18, though, again we appreciate the information. The parties haven't had a chance to review it, so we can't admit it as an exhibit. But at the same time, we acknowledge and understand that information is available on the PUC web site and appreciate your pulling it all together
and helping to provide additional information for us.

So we'll admit Exhibits 1 through 13 as full exhibits. And we will move to closing.

All right. Any comments before we go to closing? Everybody okay? All right. Okay.

Ms. Steele, I know this is a little fresh because you were just on the stand, but it's traditional to allow the pro se litigant to go first. So if you would like to take a minute to organize your thoughts, that would be fine. But it's your privilege to go first when you're ready.

MS. STEELE: I guess my last comment really would be that $I$ fully support local business. And I think it's great what the Lewis Family has done in our community and that the Lewis Family has been so successful with all of their companies. And I understand all of their development plans. It's just that I believe that Lewis Builders should pay for that infrastructure and that
the revenue requirement should be rejected and not passed down to the ratepayers and to the towns. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. We'll move to the Town of Atkinson and recognize Mr. Patch.

MR. PATCH: Thank you, Commissioners. On behalf of the Town of Atkinson, we would just urge that you approve the Settlement Agreement. It came after a lot of discussion among the parties, a lot of hard work, a lot of back and forth. Took a long time to get there. The Town invested a lot of resources in discovery and dealt with confidentiality issues. So it hasn't been an easy time, and we just would ask that you keep that in mind. We think the settlement that was ultimately entered into is a reasonable one. As with any settlement, there are pros and cons maybe about what the final settlement is. But it had things, a number of things that $I$ think the Town thinks are important. One of the most important things, if you set aside the money for a
minute, is the terms and conditions related to fire protection that are in there that we believe will help to promote better communication and cooperation between the town fire department in particular and the water company. So we think it's a good thing. You know, financially, obviously it will be a hit for the Town. But shareholder contribution, which we appreciate will help to soften that blow and give the Town a chance to prepare for increases going forward. So we would urge your approval of the settlement, and we thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Mr. Patch.

Ms. Warnock, would you like to add anything?

MS. WARNOCK: I'd like to second what Attorney Patch said about the process itself. It's hours of time that none of us will ever get back. And I appreciate the patience that the Company and the OCA have shown those of us who come in without a commuication and cooperation between the

## Patch.

background in both the process and the technology. I certainly agree that the settlement, with respect to the fire protection, municipal fire protection, will make a difference in our communities. And as the person who's married to the deputy fire chief who worked on that portion of it, I'm looking forward to a change in topic of pillow talk myself. And as I mentioned to Christine, I am also appreciative of the contribution that the shareholder has made. I think that will make the transition both easier for me to explain to our constituents and also easier on our budget. And that's my job. So thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Happily we have tomorrow blocked off for another day of hearings if you want to come back.

MS. WARNOCK: Thanks so much. I'm looking for a mani, pedi and massage. And I think I may bill it to the Town. So...
[Laughter from audience]
CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: We can't offer that.

We'll move to the Office of Consumer Advocate, Ms. Desmet.

MS. DESMET: Yes. Thank you again. The OCA, on behalf of residential ratepayers, also urges the Commission to approve this settlement. As Ms. Gage testified to, this settlement represents a compromise that is advantageous to ratepayers. We believe that it results in just and reasonable rates, and as other witnesses have testified is in the public interest. So we support the settlement and urge the PUC to approve it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Ms. Desmet.

Mr. Tuomala.
MR. TUOMALA: Thank you, Chairman Goldner. For the reasons outlined in the presentation here today, the Department of Energy fully supports all aspects of the Settlement Agreement as provided, including the permanent rate revenue requirement, the resulting rates from that permanent rate revenue requirement, and the proposed Step I
and Step II adjustments for Hampstead Area Water Company as described in the testimony here today and explained thoroughly in the Settlement Agreement. The Department of Energy contends that the Settlement Agreement is just and reasonable and serves the public interest, as it is a disposition of all issues in this rate proceeding, and provides a clear path forward to resolve the Company's Step I and Step II adjustment requests, the rate case expenses, and temporary to permanent rate recoupment requests.

The Department would also like to note we do appreciate Ms. Steele's involvement, and it has been extensive in this case. And the Department did take all of her concerns very seriously throughout the discovery process and the proceedings and listened to her. And as noted in the statements and testimony made earlier, it's just that the Department doesn't agree that those concerns rise to a level that would impact this rate proceeding. But we do
appreciate her keeping us honest.
The Department of Energy, on that note, would like to thank all parties involved. As the other parties mentioned, this was an extensive negotiation and prolonged with many technical sessions, where everybody worked very hard. And we appreciate the efforts made by everyone.

In conclusion, the Department of Energy recommends approval of the Settlement Agreement, as it provides just and reasonable rates to promote company viability in its provision of safe and adequate water service per RSA 374:1 and which the Department of Energy believes results in just and reasonable outcome for ratepayers per RSA 374:2 and RSA Chapter 378. Thank you. CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you, Mr. Tuomala.

And finally, Mr. Augeri. MR. AUGERI: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'd echo the sentiments from the previous closes. This has been an extensive process, with many technical sessions and
additional data requests to honor all views. You've heard testimony today that there were multi-faceted pieces to this settlement that is now before you as Exhibit 3 that the Company strongly urges the Commission to approve. We believe that all the testimony, including the exhibits that have now all been put in, particularly 1 through 7, demonstrate that the proposed settlement terms are just and reasonable and will serve the public interest. That was integral in getting the Office of Consumer Advocate to sign on this, both the Towns of Atkinson and the Town of Hampstead, as well as the Department of Energy. You heard from both of their experts, or outside consultants that were, you know, probing everything that the Company submitted, and the result of which was a multi-faceted settlement that we urge the Commission to approve as submitted. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN GOLDNER: Thank you. So
I'll thank everyone today, especially the witnesses, and we'll take the matter under
[ST. CYR|LANZA|FOX|GAGE|LEONE|BROGAN|SOLGANICK]
advisement and issue an order. And we are adjourned.
(Whereupon the hearing was adjourn at 3:04 p.m.)
[ST. CYR|LANZA|FOX|GAGE|LEONE|BROGAN|SOLGANICK]
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|  | $12: 13,19$ without (3) | 06 (1) | 19(10) ${ }^{16: 5 ; 41: 8,19 ;}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 22nd (1) } \\ 66: 14 \end{array}$ |
| $43: 11 ; 59: 3$ | 28:17;72:3;75:24 | 06-155 (1) | 45:15,19,24;52:16; | 24 (3) |
| wants (1) | witness (3) | 45:4 | 53:8,15;54:24 | 14:16,17;35:24 |
| 42:15 | 6:2;30:22;39:19 | 071 (2) | 19-005 (1) | 25 (7) |
| Warnock (8) | witnesses (7) | 38:14,14 | 20:1 | 13:9;17:15,18; |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 4 ; 26: 21 ; 27: 2 \\ & 61: 19,20 ; 75: 17,19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:9;21:16;30:16; } \\ & 31: 14 ; 33: 4 ; 77: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 1994 (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 16 ; 20: 23 ; 21: 7 \\ & 65: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| 76:19 | $80: 24$ |  | 1997 (1) | 288 (1) |
| Wastewater (1) | wonder (1) | 1 (8) | 35:21 | 58:8 |
| 38:13 | 51:14 | 9:15;16:19;43:8, |  | 29.75 (1) |
| water (50) | wonderful (1) | 16;72:4,5;73:3;80:8 | 2 | 35:21 |
| 15:15;20:4,19; | 68:9 | 1.32 (1) |  | 298,319 (1) |
| 32:4,11;37:7,12; | wondering (1) | 48:14 | 2 (4) | 9:17 |
| 41:17;43:21;44:4; | 42:4 | 10 (4) | 16:19;25:1;38:21; | 2nd (1) |
| 47:18,23,24;48:2,9, | word (1) | 13:7;34:4;49:3,5 | 43:13 | 48:24 |
| 12,17;49:2,9;51:14; | 49:20 | 100 (2) | 2:27 (1) |  |
| 52:22;53:18;56:12, | wording (1) | 17:8,17 | 65:16 | 3 |
| 19,22;57:4,15;59:3; | 32:21 | 10-111 (1) | 2:49 (1) |  |
| 62:16;63:19,20,23; | work (5) | 41:15 | 65:17 | 3 (11) |
| 64:4,5,11,15,19; | 15:24;17:9;33:22; | 107 (1) | 20 (3) | 25:16,22;35:10; |
| 67:17;68:1;69:12,14; | 71:13;74:12 | 37:13 | 8:4;16:12;65:14 | 53:24;56:4,5,7; |
| 70:6,13,20,22;71:2,3; | worked (2) | 11 (8) | 20-021 (1) | 62:21;63:8,14;80:4 |
| 75:6;78:2;79:13 | 76:7;79:7 | 34:6,17;48:10,20; | 38:13 | 3:04 (1) |
| way (6) | works (1) | 56:4,6;58:6,7 | 2006 (4) | 81:3 |



